

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,979	09/742,979 12/20/2000		Kazuhiko Takaishi	3408.65028	8648
24978	7590	06/09/2004	EXAMINER		INER
GREER, B		CRAIN	WONG, KIN C		
300 S WAC 25TH FLOC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2651	10	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	1 12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/742,979	TAKAISHI, KAZUHIKO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
,								
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	K. Wong	2651						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	arch 2004.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	_							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior								
application from the International Bureau	и (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2651

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/31/04 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

Claims (1, 6 and 11) are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "dynamically-obtained" has no antecedent basis in the specification. Although this phrase is inherently known to the artisan in the art as the transparent function for read the track cross points and correcting the relative profiling speed between the head and disk to the desired track location (i.e. seek time adjustment or AGC for channel electronics or any changes (corrections) in velocity/acceleration between two reference locations (tracks)) during the seek mode (or dynamically-obtained and generated with the corrected speed profile value). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims (1-15) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al (538306%).

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claim 6: Shimizu et al discloses a head positioning control device (as depicted in figure 7 of Shimizu et al) for a disk device (as depicted in figure 7) for positioning a head (element 5 in figure 7) to a predetermined position of a disk (element 1 in figure 7) by driving an actuator (element 6 in figure 7), including:

a demodulation circuit (element 8 in figure 7) which demodulates a position signal of the disk read by the head; and

a control circuit (as depicted in figure 7) which calculates a demodulation position according to the demodulation result and controls the actuator for driving the head by calculating control quantity according to the position errors between the demodulation position and the target position (see col. 17, line 33 to col. 18, line 27 of Shimizu et al);

wherein the control circuit corrects the demodulation result with a dynamicallyobtained correction value which depends on an actual moving speed of the head and calculates the demodulation position (see col. 17, line 46 to col. 18, line 6 of Shimizu et al).

Regarding claim 7: Shimizu et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a first position information and a second position information (see col. 5, line 67 to col. 6, line 23), which have different phases from each other (in col. 7, lines 30-44 where Shimizu et al discloses different phases for the position information signals), from the position signal; and the control circuit compares the first position information and the second position information (see col. 7, line 53 to col. 8, line 7 of Shimizu et al), corrects the first position information with a first correction value, which depends on the moving speed of the head, according to the comparison result, and

Art Unit: 2651

corrects the second position information with a second correction value, which depends on the moving speed of the head, according to the comparison result (in col. 5, line 67 to col. 6, line 24 and col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 25 where Shimizu et al discloses the signal correction process for the head speed).

Regarding claim 8: Shimizu et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a track number (see col. 4, line 62 to col. 5, line 18 of Shimizu et al) and offset information from the position signal; and the control circuit selects the track number as the demodulation position when the moving speed of the head is faster than a predetermined speed, and calculates a demodulation position by correcting the offset information with a correction value which depends on the moving speed of the head when the moving speed of the head is slower than a predetermined speed (in col. 5, lines 28-58 and col. 17, line 38 to col. 18, line 27 and col. 19, line 24 to col. 20, line 43 of Shimizu et al).

Regarding claim 9: Shimizu et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a track number and offset information from the position signal; and the control circuit corrects the offset information with a correction value where gain, which depends on the recording position of the offset information, is added to the moving speed of the head with the recording position of the track number as a reference (in col. 18, line 28 to col. 19, line 43 of Shimizu et al).

Regarding claim 10: Shimizu et al depicts in figure 8 that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a position signal of a magnetic disk read by a magnetic head (see associated descriptions for details).

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claims 1-5: method claims (1-5) are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 6-10. Therefore method claims (1-5) correspond to apparatus claims (6-10) and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

Regarding claims 11-15: claims (11-15) have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections, and are met by the reference as discussed above. Claim 11 however also recites the following limitations of a disk drive which has met by the depiction of figure 7 of Shimizu et al (see the associated descriptions for details).

Claims (1-15) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takaishi et al (5731973).

Regarding claim 6: Takaishi et al discloses a head positioning control device (as depicted in figure 5 of Takaishi et al) for a disk device (as depicted in figure 2 of Takaishi et al) for positioning a head (element 22 in figure 5) to a predetermined position of a disk (element 14 in figure 5) by driving an actuator (element 19 in figure 5), including:

a demodulation circuit (element 24 in figure 5) which demodulates a position signal of the disk read by the head; and

a control circuit (element 26 in figure 5) which calculates a demodulation position according to the demodulation result and controls the actuator for driving the head by calculating control quantity according to the position errors between the demodulation position and the target position (see col. 9, line 27 to col. 10, line 13 Takaishi et al);

Art Unit: 2651

wherein the control circuit corrects the demodulation result with a dynamicallyobtained correction value which depends on an actual moving speed of the head and calculates the demodulation position (see col. 9, line 30 to col. 10, line 4 of Takaishi et al).

Regarding claim 7: Takaishi et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a first position information and a second position information, which have different phases from each other, from the position signal (see col. 14-26 of Takaishi et al); and the control circuit compares the first position information and the second position information, corrects the first position information with a first correction value, which depends on the moving speed of the head, according to the comparison result, and corrects the second position information with a second correction value, which depends on the moving speed of the head, according to the comparison result (in col. 9, line 27-52 of Takaishi et al).

Regarding claim 8: Takaishi et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a track number (see col. 9, lines 14-16 of Takaishi et al) and offset information from the position signal; and the control circuit selects the track number as the demodulation position when the moving speed of the head is faster than a predetermined speed, and calculates a demodulation position by correcting the offset information with a correction value which depends on the moving speed of the head when the moving speed of the head is slower than a predetermined speed (in col. 9, line 30 to col. 10, line 4 of Takaishi et al).

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claim 9: Takaishi et al teaches that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a track number and offset information from the position signal; and the control circuit corrects the offset information with a correction value where gain, which depends on the recording position of the offset information, is added to the moving speed of the head with the recording position of the track number as a reference are considered inherent because the track number and offset information (in col. 9, line 30 to col. 10, line 4 of Takaishi et al).

Regarding claim 10: Takaishi et al depicts in figure 5 that wherein the demodulation circuit demodulates a position signal of a magnetic disk read by a magnetic head.

Regarding claims 1-5: method claims (1-5) are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 6-10. Therefore method claims (1-5) correspond to apparatus claims (6-10) and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

Regarding claims 11-15: claims (11-15) have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections, and are met by the references as discussed above. Claim 11 however also recites the following limitations of a disk drive which has met by figure 4 of Takaishi et al.

## Response to Arguments

The remarks filed on 3/3/04 have been addressed in paper # 9. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection to the newly amended claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rose (4168457) is cited for head speed control in a disk drive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Wong whose telephone number is (703) 305-7772.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kw

54 Jun 04

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500